



# A cocycle rigidity lemma for Baumslag-Solitar actions and its applications

MASAYUKI ASAOKA

## 1. A cocycle rigidity lemma

Let  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{R}^n, 0)$  be the group of local diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  at the origin. In many situations in study of foliations, we encounter with  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{R}^n, 0)$ -valued cocycles over a group action. A typical case is the following. Consider an action of simply connected Lie group whose orbits form a smooth codimension- $n$  foliation with trivial normal bundle. Then, the holonomy map of the foliation with respect to a fixed family of transverse coordinates defines a  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{R}^n, 0)$ -valued cocycle. In this case, the existence of a transverse geometric structure is equivalent to the condition that the cocycle can be reduced to a subgroup of  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{R}^n, 0)$  which preserves the geometric structure.

In this talk, we show a rigidity lemma for  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{R}^n, 0)$ -valued cocycle over actions of the Baumslag-Solitar group  $BS(1, k)$ . We also apply it to rigidity problem of several group actions.

For integers  $k \geq 2$ , the **Baumslag-Solitar group**  $BS(1, k)$  is the group presented as

$$\langle a, b \mid aba^{-1} = b^k \rangle.$$

There are many copies of  $BS(1, k)$  is contained in the group  $\text{CAff}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  of conformal affine transformations of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . In fact, let  $f_k$  and  $g_v$  be elements of  $\text{CAff}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  given by  $f_k(x) = kx$  and  $g_v(b) = x+v$ . Then, the correspondence  $a \mapsto f_k$  and  $b \mapsto g_v$  gives an inclusion from  $BS(1, k)$  to  $\text{CAff}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  and  $H$  be topological groups and  $X$  a topological space. For a given action  $\rho : \Gamma \times X \rightarrow X$ , a map  $\alpha : \Gamma \times X \rightarrow H$  is called a **cocycle** over  $\rho$  if  $\alpha(1_\Gamma, x) = x$  and  $\alpha(\gamma\gamma', x) = \alpha(\gamma, \gamma'x) \cdot \alpha(\gamma', x)$  for any  $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$  and  $x \in X$  ( $1_\Gamma$  is the unit element of  $\Gamma$ ). The space of  $H$ -valued cocycle over  $\rho$  admits a topology as a subspace of  $C^0(\Gamma \times X, H)$ . Let  $H'$  be a subgroup of  $H$ . Two  $H$ -valued cocycles  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  over  $\rho$  are  $H'$ -equivalent if there exists  $h \in H'$  such that  $\beta(\gamma, x) = h \cdot \alpha(\gamma, x) \cdot h^{-1}$  for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $x \in X$ .

For an element  $F$  of  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{R}^n, 0)$ , we denote the  $r$ -jet of  $F$  at the origin by  $j_0^r F$ . Let  $j^r \text{Diff}(\mathbf{R}^n, 0)$  is the group of  $r$ -jets of elements of  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{R}^n, 0)$  at the origin. The group  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{R}^n, 0)$  is endowed with the weakest topology such that the projection to  $j^r \text{Diff}(\mathbf{R}^n, 0)$  is continuous for any  $r \geq 1$  (it is

not Hausdorff). We denote the identity map of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  by  $\text{Id}$ . For  $r \geq 1$ , let  $G^{(r)}$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{R}^n, 0)$  consisting of elements with trivial  $r$ -jet.

**Cocycle Rigidity Lemma** *There exists a universal constant  $\epsilon_k > 0$  such that the following assertion holds: Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $\rho : BS(1, k) \times X \rightarrow X$  a continuous  $BS(1, k)$ -action. If continuous cocycles  $\alpha, \beta : BS(1, k) \times X \rightarrow \text{Diff}(\mathbf{R}^n, 0)$  over  $\rho$  satisfies that*

1.  $j_0^2(\alpha(\gamma, x)) = j_0^2(\beta(\gamma, x))$  for any  $\gamma \in BS(1, k)$  and  $x \in X$ , and
2.  $\|j_0^1(\alpha(a, x)) - (1/k)\text{Id}\| < \epsilon_k$  and  $\|j_0^1(\alpha(b, x)) - \text{Id}\| < \epsilon_k$ , where  $\text{Id}$  is the identity map on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ,

*then two cocycles  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are  $G^{(2)}$ -equivalent. If  $\alpha(a, \cdot) = \beta(a, \cdot)$  in addition then  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  coincide as cocycles.*

In other words, a cocycle whose linear part is close to the linear representation given by  $a \mapsto (1/k)I$  and  $b \mapsto I$  is determined by its 2-jet up to  $G^{(2)}$ -equivalence.

## 2. Applications

The first application of the above cocycle rigidity lemma is rigidity of certain conformal local action of a Baumslag-Solitar-like group. For  $k \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\Gamma_{n,k}$  be the discrete group presented as

$$\langle a, b_1, \dots, b_n \mid ab_i a^{-1} = b_i^k, b_i b_j = b_j b_i \ (i, j = 1, \dots, n) \rangle.$$

Each subgroup generated by  $a$  and  $b_i$  is isomorphic to  $BS(1, k)$ . Let  $f_k$  and  $g_v$  be conformal affine maps on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  given in the previous section. They naturally extends to the sphere  $S^n = \mathbf{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$ . For a basis  $B = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , we define a smooth  $\Gamma_{n,k}$ -action  $\rho_B$  on  $S^n$  (i.e. a homomorphism from  $\Gamma_{n,k}$  to  $\text{Diff}(S^n)$ ) by  $\rho_B(a) = f_k$  and  $\rho_B(b_i) = g_{v_i}$ . Let  $\phi : S^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  be a coordinate at  $\infty$  given by  $\phi(x) = x/\|x\|^2$ . We define a local  $\Gamma_{n,k}$ -action  $P_B$  (i.e. a homomorphism from  $\Gamma_{n,k}$  to  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{R}^n, 0)$ ) by  $P_B(\gamma) = \phi \cdot \rho_B(\gamma) \cdot \phi^{-1}$ . Remark that the local action  $P_B$  preserves the standard conformal structure on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

**Theorem 2.1** ([1]). *If a local action  $P : \Gamma_{n,k} \rightarrow \text{Diff}(\mathbf{R}^n, 0)$  is sufficiently close to  $P_B$ , then there exists a basis  $B'$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and a local diffeomorphism  $H \in \text{Diff}(\mathbf{R}^n, 0)$  such that  $P(\gamma) = H \cdot P_{B'}(\gamma) \cdot H^{-1}$  for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma_{n,k}$ . In particular, the local  $\Gamma_{n,k}$ -action  $P$  preserves a smooth conformal structure on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .*

**Outline of Proof.** Notice that a local action is a  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{R}^n, 0)$ -cocycle over the trivial action on a point. We can check that the sub-action generated

by  $a$  and  $b_i$  satisfies the assumptions of the rigidity lemma. So, it is sufficient to show that  $P$  coincides with a conjugate of some  $P_{B'}$  up to 2-jet. Finding the basis  $B'$  can be done using a variant of Weil's rigidity theorem of homomorphisms between Lie groups [5].  $\square$

Using the persistence of global fixed point  $\infty$ , we can derive a global rigidity theorem from the above theorem.

**Theorem 2.2** ([1]). *If a smooth  $\Gamma_{n,k}$ -action  $\rho$  is sufficiently close to  $\rho_B$ , then there exists a basis  $B'$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and a diffeomorphism  $h$  of  $S^n$  such that  $\rho(\gamma) = h \cdot \rho_{B'}(\gamma) \cdot h^{-1}$ .*

A similar local or global rigidity theorem can be shown for a  $\Gamma_{n,k}$ -action on the  $n$ -dimensional torus  $\mathbf{T}^n$ . We identify  $\mathbf{T}^n$  with  $(\mathbf{R} \cup \{\infty\})^n$ . For a basis  $B = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , we define a  $\Gamma_{n,k}$ -action  $\sigma_B$  on  $\mathbf{T}^n$  by

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_B(a)(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= (kx_1, \dots, kx_n) \\ \sigma_B(b_i)(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i + v_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n).\end{aligned}$$

By the same way as above, we can show a rigidity result for this action.

**Theorem 2.3.** *If a smooth  $\Gamma_{n,k}$ -action  $\sigma$  is sufficiently close to  $\sigma_B$ , then there exists a basis  $B'$  of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and a diffeomorphism  $h$  of  $\mathbf{T}^n$  such that  $\sigma(\gamma) = h \cdot \sigma_{B'}(\gamma) \cdot h^{-1}$ .*

The second application is another proof of Ghys's local rigidity theorem on Fuchsian action on  $\mathbf{R}P^1$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a cocompact lattice of  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{R})$ . Since  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{R})$  acts on  $\mathbf{R}P^1$  naturally,  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\mathbf{R}P^1$  as a subgroup of  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{R})$ . We denote this action by  $\rho_\Gamma$ . More generally, when a homomorphism  $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{R})$  is given, we can define a  $\Gamma$ -action  $\rho_\pi$  on  $\mathbf{R}P^1$  by  $\rho_\pi(\gamma)(x) = \pi(\gamma) \cdot x$ .

**Theorem 2.4** (Ghys [2]). *If a  $\Gamma$ -action  $\rho$  on  $\mathbf{R}P^1$  is sufficiently close to  $\rho_\Gamma$ , then there exists an homomorphism  $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{R})$  and a diffeomorphism  $h$  of  $S^1$  such that  $\rho(\gamma) = h \cdot \rho_\pi(\rho) \cdot h^{-1}$  for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .*

All known proofs ([2, 3, 4]) use the Schwarzian derivative, but our proof does not. We use that fact that any  $j^2 \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbf{R}, 0)$ -cocycle can be extended to a cocycle valued in projective transformations of  $(\mathbf{R}, 0)$

**Outline of our proof.** Let  $P$  be the subgroup of  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{R})$  that consists of lower triangular elements. It is generated by one-parameter subgroups  $A = (a^t)_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$  and  $N = (b^s)_{s \in \mathbf{R}}$  with a relation  $a^t b^s a^{-t} = b^{s \exp t}$ . Define a smooth right  $P$ -action  $\rho_P$  on  $\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{R})$  by  $\rho_P(\Gamma g, p) = \Gamma(gp)$ , and denote the orbit foliation of  $\rho_P$  by  $\mathcal{F}_P$ . As Ghys proved, it is sufficient to

show that any foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  sufficiently close to  $\mathcal{F}_P$  admits a smooth transversely projective structure. Since the restriction of  $\rho_P$  to  $A$  is an Anosov flow and  $\mathcal{F}_P$  is its unstable foliation, we can find a homeomorphism of  $M$  which sends each leaf of  $\mathcal{F}_P$  to that of  $\mathcal{F}$ . This homeomorphism induces a continuous  $P$ -action  $\rho$  whose orbit foliation is  $\mathcal{F}$ . The holonomy map of  $\mathcal{F}$  gives a  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{R}, 0)$ -valued cocycle  $\bar{\alpha}$  over  $\rho$ .

The group  $P$  naturally contains  $BS(1, k)$  as a subgroup. Let  $\alpha$  be the restriction of the cocycle  $\bar{\alpha}$  to  $BS(1, k)$ . To show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is transversely projective, it is enough to see that  $\bar{\alpha}$  is  $\text{Diff}(\mathbf{R}, 0)$ -equivalent to a cocycle whose values are projective transformation. But, it is an easy consequence of the rigidity lemma. In fact, as mentioned above, any  $j^2 \text{Diff}(\mathbf{R}, 0)$ -valued cocycle can be extended to a cocycle whose values are projective transformations in one-dimension (it is not true for higher dimension). So, the rigidity lemma implies that  $\alpha$  is  $G^{(2)}$ -equivalent to such a cocycle.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M.Asaoka, Rigidity of certain solvable actions on the sphere, *Geom. and Topology* **16** (2013), no. 3, 1835–1857.
- [2] É. Ghys, Déformations de flots d’Anosov et de groupes fuchsien, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **42** (1992), no. 1–2, 209–247.
- [3] É. Ghys, Rigidité différentiable des groupes fuchsien, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **78** (1993), 163–185.
- [4] A. Kononenko and C. B. Yue, Cohomology and rigidity of Fuchsian groups, *Israel J. Math.* **97** (1997), 51–59.
- [5] A.Weil, Remarks on the cohomology of groups, *Ann. Math. (2)* **80** (1964), 149–157.

Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University  
 Kita-shirakawa Oiwakecho, 606-8502 Sakyo, Kyoto, Japan  
 E-mail: asaoka-001@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp