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## 1. The Volume of a Tube

1.1. The second fundamental forms of the tubular hypersurfaces

Definition 1.1. Let $P$ be a topologically embedded sub-manifold (possibly with boundary) in a Riemannian manifold $M$, then a tube $T(P, r)$ of radius $r \geq 0$ about $P$ is the set
(1.2) $\quad T(P, r)=\{m \in M$ : there exists a geodesic $\xi$ of length $L(\xi) \leq r$ from $m$ meeting $P$ orthogonally .

We shall also need a notation closely related to that of tube.
Definition 1.3. We call a hypersurface of the form

$$
P_{t}=\{m \in T(P, r): \operatorname{distance}(m, P)=t\}
$$

the tubular hypersurface at distance t from P .
For $0<t \leq r$ the tubular hypersurfaces $P_{t}$ form a natural foliation of the tubular region $T(P, r)-P$.

### 1.2. The volume of a tube in terms of the infinitesimal change

 of volume functionWe assume that $P$ is topologically embedded submanifold with compact closure of a complete Riemannian manifold $M$. For all $r \geq 0$ both $T(P, r)$ and $P_{r}$ are measurable sets. Let

$$
\mathrm{V}_{P}^{M}(r)=\text { the } n \text {-dimensional volume of } T(P, r),
$$

$$
\mathrm{A}_{P}^{M}(r)=\text { the }(n-1)-\text { dimensional volume of } P_{r} .
$$

It is easy to show that $A_{P}^{M}(r)$ is the derivative of $V_{P}^{M}(r)$. We use the lemma:

Lemma 1.4. Suppose that $\exp _{\nu}:\{(p, v) \in \nu:\|v\| \leq r\} \longmapsto T(P, r)$ is a diffeomorphism. Then

$$
A_{P}^{M}(r)=r^{n-q-1} \int_{P} \int_{S^{n-q-1}(1)} \mathcal{V}_{u}(r) d u d P
$$

Lemma 1.5. Suppose that $\exp _{\nu}:\{(p, v) \in \nu:\|v\| \leq r\} \longmapsto T(P, r)$ is a diffeomorphism. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d r} V_{P}^{M}(r) & =A_{P}^{M}(r) \\
& =r^{n-q-1} \int_{P} \int_{S^{n-q-1}(1)} \mathcal{V}_{u}(r) d u d P .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proofs of this lemmas are available at [3].

## 2. Riemannian manifolds with singularities

In this section we work with Riemannian geometry of manifolds equipped with a pair of orthogonal plane fields. We want to generalize it to the case of plane fields with singularities, that is defined on a compact manifold except of singular set, the union of submanifolds of lower dimension. Till now, author produced a new integral formula ( see[4] ) obtained from integration of the divergence of a vector field built from Newton transforms of Weingarten operators applied to the mean curvature vectors of the plane fields under consideration. This formula, in a sense, analogous to the one obtained by Walczak in the 1990 [5].

We get reasonable applications of this formulae leading to provide obstructions for the existence of geometric structures - here, pairs of distributions - satisfying some geometric conditions (for example: being totally geodesic, minimal, umbilical and so on) on some special (locally symmetric, of constant curvature, positively/negatively curved and so on) Riemannian manifolds.

Let $M$ be a Riemannian manifold, $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 3$, equipped with two complementary distributions $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$. We assume that

$$
p+q=n, \quad \text { where } p=\operatorname{dim} D_{1}, \quad q=\operatorname{dim} D_{2} \text { and } n=\operatorname{dim} M .
$$

Let us take a local orthonormal frame $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ adapted to $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$, i.e., we assume that $e_{i}$ is tangent to $D_{1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, p$ and $e_{\alpha}$ is tangent to $D_{2}$ for $\alpha=p+1, \ldots, n$.
The second fundamental forms $B_{m}$ of $D_{m}(m=1,2)$ are defined as follows:

$$
B_{1}\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{X_{1}} Y_{1}+\nabla_{Y_{1}} X_{1}\right)^{\perp}, \quad B_{2}\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla_{X_{2}} Y_{2}+\nabla_{Y_{2}} X_{2}\right)^{\top}
$$

for vector fields $X_{m}$ and $Y_{m}$ tangent to $D_{m}$.
The integrability tensors $T_{m}$ of $D_{m}(m=1,2)$ are defined as follows:

$$
T_{1}\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left[X_{1}, Y_{1}\right]^{\perp}, \quad T_{2}\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left[X_{2}, Y_{2}\right]^{\top}
$$

for vector fields $X_{m}$ and $Y_{m}$ tangent to $D_{m}$.
Then the mean curvature vectors $H_{m}$ of $D_{m}$ are given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{1}=\text { Trace } B_{1}=\sum_{i} B_{1}\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)=\sum_{i}\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}\right)^{\perp} \\
H_{2}=\text { Trace } B_{2}=\sum_{\alpha} B_{2}\left(e_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha}\right)=\sum_{i}\left(\nabla_{e_{\alpha}} e_{\alpha}\right)^{\top} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let us define the Weingarten operators by

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1}: D_{1} \times D_{2} \rightarrow D_{1}, & \left\langle A_{1}(X, N), Y\right\rangle=\left\langle B_{1}(X, Y), N\right\rangle \\
& \text { for } X, Y \in D_{1}, N \in D_{2} \\
A_{2}: D_{2} \times D_{1} \rightarrow D_{2}, \quad & \left\langle A_{2}\left(X^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right), Y^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle B_{2}\left(X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}\right), N^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
& \text { for } X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime} \in D_{2}, N^{\prime} \in D_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume now that $M$ has bounded geometry (i.e., bounded sectional curvature and injectivity radii $r_{x}, x \in M$, separated away from zero). Let $A$ be a finite set of singularities(points, closed curve, etc.) on $M$ and codimension $A=n-2$. Moreover, let $f: M / A \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ be a function defined on $M$ outside a finite set $A$.
We denote the tube $T(A, r)$ of radius $r \geq 0$ about set $A$ by $N_{A}(r)$ and $\delta N_{A}(r)$ as the tubular hypersurface at a distance $r \geq 0$ from $A$.
We shall also need an one the well-known formula volume $\delta N_{\gamma}(r) \simeq L(\gamma)$. volume $S^{n-1}(r)$, where $\gamma \subset A$ is closed curve, $L(\gamma)$ is length of the the curve $\gamma$ and $S^{n-1}(r) \subset R^{n}$ is sphere of radius $r$. In particular:

- in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ we obtain volume $\delta N_{\gamma}(r)=2 r \cdot L(\gamma)$
- in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ we obtain volume $\delta N_{\gamma}(r)=\pi r^{2} \cdot L(\gamma)$

It leads to the following and useful lemma.

## Lemma 2.1.

$$
\text { If } \lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \inf \int_{\delta N_{\gamma}(r)} f>0, \text { then } \int_{M} f^{2}=0 .
$$

These lemma will be used extensively and will allow us to proof the following theorems.

Theorem 2.2. Let $M$ being a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ and $A$ a finite subset of $M$. If $\int_{M}\left\|H_{1}\right\|<\infty$ and $\int_{M}\left\|H_{2}\right\|<$ $\infty$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left\|B_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|B_{2}\right\|^{2}-\left\|H_{1}\right\|^{2}-\left\|H_{2}\right\|^{2}-\left\|T_{1}\right\|^{2}-\left\|T_{2}\right\|^{2}=\int_{M} K\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)$ is a generalization on the Ricci curvature equal to the sum

$$
\sum_{i, \alpha}<R\left(e_{i}, e_{\alpha}\right) e_{\alpha}, e_{i}>
$$

and called the mixed scalar curvature.
Theorem 2.4. Let $M$ being a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ and $A$ a finite subset of $M$. If $\int_{M}\left\|A_{1}\right\|<\infty$ and $\int_{M}\left\|A_{2}\right\|<\infty$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M}\left\langle\operatorname{Ric}\left(H_{2}\right), H_{1}\right\rangle= \\
& \int_{M}\left\langle H_{1},\left(\nabla_{H_{2}} H_{1}\right)^{\perp}\right\rangle+\left\langle H_{2},\left(\nabla_{H_{1}} H_{2}\right)^{\top}\right\rangle+ \\
& \left\langle\operatorname{Tr}^{\perp}\left(\nabla \cdot T_{1}\right)\left(\bullet, H_{2}\right), H_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}^{\top}\left(\nabla \cdot T_{2}\right)\left(\bullet, H_{1}\right), H_{2}\right\rangle+ \\
& \left\langle A_{1}^{H_{1}}, \nabla_{\bullet}^{\top} H_{2}\right\rangle+\left\langle A_{2}^{H_{2}}, \nabla_{\bullet}^{\perp} H_{2}\right\rangle+ \\
& \sum_{i}\left\langle A_{1}\left(H_{2},\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} H_{1}\right)^{\perp}\right), e_{i}\right\rangle+\sum_{\alpha}\left\langle A_{2}\left(H_{1},\left(\nabla_{e_{\alpha}} H_{2}\right)^{\top}\right), e_{\alpha}\right\rangle+ \\
& 2 \sum_{i}\left\langle\left(\nabla_{T_{1}\left(e_{i}, H_{2}\right)} e_{i}\right)^{\perp}, H_{1}\right\rangle+2 \sum_{\alpha}\left\langle\left(\nabla_{T_{2}\left(e_{\alpha}, H_{1}\right)} e_{\alpha}\right)^{\top}, H_{2}\right\rangle- \\
& \left\langle A_{2}\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right), H_{1}\right\rangle-\left\langle A_{1}\left(H_{2}, H_{1}\right), H_{2}\right\rangle . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Corollary 2.6. Equality (2.3) holds if and only if $K\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)>0$.
Proposition 2.7. If distributions $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are totally geodesic and $D_{2}$ is the orthogonal complement of $D_{1}$, then $H_{1}=0$ and $H_{2}=0$ and we get

$$
\int_{M} K\left(D_{1}, D_{2}\right)=\int_{M}\left(\left\|T_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|T_{2}\right\|^{2}\right)
$$

where $H_{m}$ and $T_{m}(m=1,2)$ denote mean curvature vectors and integrability tensors of distributions $D_{m}$, respectively.
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